

Warning concerning copyright restrictions:

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of Copyright Law.

Please request a re-send within five working days.

OCLC: EYR | Fax: 248-370-2458 | Email: illoaku@oakland.edu

Transaction Date: 3/14/2024 11:17:22 AM

TN: 1076112

ILL: 224586837

Call #: T55.45 .1428 2020

Location: JBCL GEN

Journal Title: IISE Annual Conference and Expo 2020 : New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 30 May-2 June 2020 /

Volume: Issue: Month/Year: 2020 Pages: 1145-

Article Author: Edwards,

Article Title: New approach to manage catastrophic downtime in post bottleneck manufacturing production

Special Instructions:

Borrower: EYR United States Odyssey: 206.107.44.20 Email: illoaku@oakland.edu Ship Via: A - Odyssey

Ship To: KRESGE LIBRARY - INTERLIBRARY LOAN OAKLAND UNIVERSITY 100 Library Drive ROCHESTER, Michigan 48309-4479

From: VRC Interlibrary Loan | Access Services VCU Libraries | Interlibrary Loan James Branch Cabell Library Virginia Commonwealth University 901 Park Avenue Richmond, Virginia 23284-2033

Email: libill@vcu.edu Phone: (804) 828-1111 OCLC: VRC DOCLINE: VAUTMC Office Hours: Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5 p.m. FEIN: 54-6001758

IISE Annual Conference and Expo 2020

New Orleans, Louisiana, USA 30 May – 2 June 2020

Volume 2 of 2

Editors:

L. Cromarty R. Shirwalker P. Wang

ISBN: 978-1-7138-2781-8

T 55,45 .I428 2020 v. 2

Printed from e-media with permission by:

Curran Associates, Inc. 57 Morehouse Lane Red Hook, NY 12571



Some format issues inherent in the e-media version may also appear in this print version.

Copyright[©] (2020) by Institute of Industrial & Systems Engineers (IISE) All rights reserved.

Printed with permission by Curran Associates, Inc. (2021)

For permission requests, please contact Institute of Industrial & Systems Engineers (IISE) at the address below.

Institute of Industrial & Systems Engineers (IISE) 3577 Parkway Lane, Suite 200 Norcross, GA 30092 USA

Phone: (770) 449-0460 Fax: (770) 441-3295

www.iise.org

Additional copies of this publication are available from:

Curran Associates, Inc. 57 Morehouse Lane Red Hook, NY 12571 USA Phone: 845-758-0400 Fax: 845-758-2633 Email: curran@proceedings.com Web: www.proceedings.com

MIFT (Move It Forward Theory);

New approach to Manage Catastrophic Downtime in Post Bottleneck Manufacturing Production.

Paper ID: 790502

Dr William Edwards, PhD, PE, PMP Ketttering & Oakland University <u>DrBillEdwards@yahoo.com</u> 248 882-9917 Cell

> Dr Sankar Sengupt, PhD Oakland University

Dr Michael Latcha, PhD Oakland University

Dr Frank Washko, PhD St Martin University

Abstract

This paper presents research findings on a problem that may help decision makers manage a major breakdown in Manufacturing Production Environments. It will lower or eliminate production and profit losses. We propose a New Field of Study based on MIFT's (Move It Forward Theory) Operations Management. The proposed method recommends keeping the line moving both upstream and downstream of the failure while repairs are underway. Current practice is to stop the line completely until the failure is repaired; then operations resume when the repairs are completed.

The research findings area scaled down abstraction of an automotive assembly plant. The results show that using MIFT based operating procedures lead to recovery from a major machine failure with lower loss of production compared to the current practice. The results also show that improvements in performance under MIFT procedure is usable in daily production. MIFT's improvements depend significantly on the location of the failure in relation to the paypoint(end of line), bottleneck, and buffer capacities. This paper focuses in the post bottleneck catastrophic breakdowns. This paper includes rationale for success of MIFT based operating procedure as well as future extensions.

Keywords

Bottleneck, downtime, industrial application, production management, cost benefit

1. Introduction and Literature Review

Borne out of one of the researchers personal experience, a problem was discovered during his tenure with a large automotive manufacturer. Application of MIFT based operation is relevant for high volume low variety serial flow line such as automotive assembly line. The prevailing practice among all the OEMs of managing a catastrophic event such as machine failure requiring large repair time is to stop the entire assembly line while the failed machine is under repair. The resulting loss of production was acceptable to management and the practice is consistent with the prevailing best practice of lean manufacturing principles. At the time of MIFT conception, a catastrophic event was consider a machine failure of 30 minutes or more. Current industry practice considers 10 minutes or more of downtime to be catastrophic and production is held in place. Further research revealed that this practice of idling everyone not directly involved in the problem solving is practice used by all OEMs. In this research, we propose the "Move It Forward Theory (MIFT)" of production management during a rare catastrophic downtime. We propose keeping the assembly line moving in all areas where possible. More than just a management of production system in rare event situation – it offers the possibility of alternative management philosophy. The MIFT based operation also shows some promise in potential benefits in other areas of a mass production system, such as quality improvements, improved system recovery, better human resource management, and in some cases leads to complete elimination of production losses as if it didn't happen – saving revenue, profits, and resource utilization.

Continuing, MIFT is a new approach which is a paradigm shift. It departs from the current method large automotive companies manage during rare event catastrophic downtimes. Hence, in the literature review, my research did not yield a lot of research on the topic. The main author worked with the PhD topic research center in the University Library and the research specialist re-iterated the same. There was not much at all in this specific field. Hence, this specific topic of research appears to relatively untraveled and the potential for future research is new, growing, and with great possibilities for future research.

2. Research

1) Researching MIFT Theory:

MIFT is an operating procedure that is capable of restoring a system to the normal operating state with minimum loss following a major machine breakdown. We will look into the following scenarios:

- A. Minimize production losses via evaluation of a system throughput with and without use of MIFT is to use as the measure of performance.
- B. How does the performance of MIFT depend on the level of content of each buffer at the time of machine failure?
- C. How does the performance of MIFT depend on the location of the failed machine (upstream, closer to the pay point, or its location to the bottleneck)?
- D. How much of an impact on the general applications? Are there other areas in the plant or other manufacturing where MIFT may help?

2) Investigate the Modeling of MIFT and Machine Downtime.

A related topic of interest is to explore different ways of modeling machine failure in a Discrete Event Simulation (DES) model. The traditional modeling method uses "Mean Time Between Failures" and "Mean Time To Repair" as random variables. Each model is an appropriate statistical distribution that provides good fit to historical data. It is somewhat simplistic approach to model machine failure and ignores aging of the machine. Each repair is presumes the machine is restored to its original health. This research will address this issue and explore if there is a better way to model machine failure.

- (i) Conventional MTBF and MTTR approach
- (ii) Include impact of aging on TBF and TTR
- (iii) Use of conditional probability based on the number of cycles completed
- (iv) Modeling categories of failure of a machine (high, medium and low repair time). The method (i) serves as the current champion. The results from each of the other methods compared to result from (i).

3. Modeling Experimental Conditions

The system simulates operations with and without use of MIFT. Adequate recovery time allows the system to stabilize following repair of a catastrophic failure. Statistically sufficient data was collected to generate validate results.

The simulation based study is presented to show that "Move It Forward Theory (MIFT)" based operating procedure offers recovery from a catastrophic machine failure with lower loss of production compared to a non-MIFT based operation. This paper will focus on the simulation study which shows that the MIFT based operation performs better under catastrophic downtime in the post bottleneck portion of steady state operational production.

4. Research and Modeling Experimental Conditions

The theory behind MIFT is to reduce or eliminate losses in catastrophic downtime events and set the production system up to run better when production resumes. The system simulates operations with and without use of MIFT. Adequate recovery time allows the system to stabilize following repair of a catastrophic failure. Statistically sufficient data was collected to generate validate results. The focus will examine overall performance and focus on post bottleneck section of the production operations.



Figure 1: Great Lakes Assembly Plant

The simulation is presents that "Move It Forward Theory (MIFT)" based operating procedure offers recovery from a catastrophic machine failure with lower loss of production compared to a non-MIFT based operation the simulation study shows that the MIFT based operation performs better under general operating condition.

1148

The model resembled a very simple generic assembly plant – See Figure 1. It contained 5 linked serial flow lines, each with 6 synchronized stations. Each station functioned at different Operational Availability (OA). Each feeder line has over-speed to subsequent downstream. Small buffers (accumulators) of 10 maximum units decouple the downtimes between line sections. All the WIP (Work in Process) continues until it leave the end of the assembly plant – the paypoint. Random downtimes appear in the simulation. They are based on the Mean Times Between/To Failure (MTBF/MTTF) and the Mean Times To Repair (MTTR). See Figure 2. Downtime modeling as a whole is on a realistic based model of negative exponential observed from the automotive industry. Figure 1; Simulation on Great Lakes Assembly Plant. The cycle times and Stand Alone Jobs per Hours (SAJPH). We use these values in calculating the bottleneck based on the theory of constraint(s) and the mean net output of the production system is calculated. However, we realize the production systems due not work on nominal values. For example, large downtimes can starve downstream operations and block upstream operations. Hence, mitigating the buffers in these conditions.



Figure 2; Graph of MTTR and MTTR.

5. MIFT Results & Conclusions

The results of MIFT is always better or the same as running the non-MIFT (conventional methods of management). Table 1 shows the 125 sample runs at each location.

Table 1; Benefits of MIFT vs non-MIFT management

Expected 4 hr Run Expected 3 hr Run (1hr Break Down - nMIFT)

BreakDown

Location	<u>nMIFT</u>	MIFT	Benefit	Recovered	(125 runs)	Deviation	Median
Source	150	172	22	44%	162	15	165
Line 1	150	172	22	44%	162	18	164
Line 2	150	161	11	22%	163	9.6	164
Line 3	150	150	0	0%	153	5.4	104
Line 4	150	160	10	20%	158	5.5	1.94
Line 5	150	170	20	40%	164	3.3	158

201 Vehicles

150 Vehicles



Simulation

Average

Standard

Figure 3 shows a large catastrophic downtime in the Final Line. By continuing to run the line, bottleneck still produces and the product is "spring loaded" into the system via the last two buffers - Ref 1. When production resumes THIS section of the production line actual runs faster than normal production because it is releasing the stored up products and runs at its full SAJPH potential and not at the Bottleneck/Theory of Constraint(TOC) pace of normal production [1]. Hence, this resumed production start-up will run faster that steady state production until the "stored units" in the last two buffers have made it through the paypoint [1].

The benefits of MIFT depend on many inputs – including where in the system the breakdown occurs. Depending on where, how long, etc, the breakdown is – MIFT production management may eliminate any productions loses – as if it never happened. An outgrowth is MIFT is always the better production management philosophy – regardless of how small the breakdown is.



Figure 3; "Spring Loaded" product in final line.

Again, MIFT is a paradigm shift and an innovative way to manage downtime in automotive assembly plants and other production facilities. Of interest, by using MIFT in a breakdown, the quality can actually improve. This is accomplished by the fact the Build Quality In Station (BQIS) is ensured. With the Catastrophic breakdown, the need to continue moving at the same production pace is eliminated. Hence, each vehicle is given ample assembly time in its station. The urgency to pass the vehicle along to a repair station due to the need to perform the task in the normal takt time is not there. Refer to Reference 1.

MIFT is a new approach to downtime management. Future research is continuing. Using this new approach is leading to more studies on production management, human resource utilization, buffers sizes, machine failure, predicting machine failure and other related topics. Not only in today's assembly plant but also in the potential design of future assembly plants to maximize the benefits of using the MIFT approach to catastrophic and daily production in downtime management.

Sample Calculations

Jobs Per Hour to Cycle Time; Chassis Line

Chassis Line; 68 Jobs per hour $\rightarrow 3600 \text{sec/hr} = 52.94$ second Cycle Time 68 jph

Stand Alone Jobs Per Hour; Final Line

Operational Availability * Gross Line Speed = SAJPH

Operational Availability = [3600sec - Cumulative Downtime (STA 25-30)]/3600sec

SAJPH Final Line;

 $\frac{[3600-(2+6+6+2+3+170)sec]}{3600 sec} * 60 JPH = 56.85 SAJPH$

References

- [1] Edwards, William S., "MIFT Move It Forward Theory," Doctoral Dissertation, Oakland University; Rochester, MI, December 2016.
- [2] Edwards, William S.. "MIFT Move It Forwards Theory", IEOM Conference, Pilsner, Czech Republic, July 2019.
- [3] Homen-de-Mello, Tito; Rubenstein, Reuven Y., "Rare Event Simulation and Combinationial Optimization Using Cross Entropy : Estimation of Rare Event Probabilities Using Cross-entropy," Winter Simulation Conference, San Diego, California, 310-319, 2002.
- [4] Glasserman, Paul, Liu Tai-Wen, "Rare Event Simulation for Multistage Production Inventory Systems Management Science, 42, 9, 1292-1307, 1996.
- [5] Munoz Zuniga M., Garnier J; Remy E, de Rocquigny E., "Adapt Directional Stratification for Controlled Estimation of the Probability of a Rare Event," Reliability Engineering Systems Safety, 96, 12, 1691-1712, 2011.
- [6] "Optimization of Computer Simulation Models with Rare Events," European Journal of Operations Research, 99, 1, 89-112, 1997.
- [7] Hole, Kjell and Netland, Lars-Helge, "Toward Risk Assessment of Large-Impact and Rare Events," IEEE Computer and Reliability Society; May/June 2012.
- [8] Alden, Burns, Costy, Hutton, Jackson, Kim, Kohls, Owen, Turnquist, Vander Veen, "General Motors Increases Its Production Throughput," Information Interfaces; Vol.36 No.1, Jan-Feb, 2006.
- [9] Li, Blumenfeld, Huand, Alden, "Throughput Analysis of Production Systems: Recent Advances and Future Topics," International Journal of Production Research, April 4, 2008.
- [10] Li, Ambani, Ni, "Plant-level Maintenance Decision Support System for Throughput Improvement," International Journal of Production Research, 2008.
- [11] Hillberg, Patrick, "Improving Manufacturing Productivity Through Opportunistic Preventive Maintenance Via Simulation and Analytical Modeling," Doctoral Dissertation, Oakland University, March 2004.
- [12] "World Class Manufacturing," Fiat-Chrysler, Spa, accessed January 15, 2018. <u>https://www.fcagroup.com/en-US/media_center/insights/Pages/wcm_global_quality.aspx</u>
- [13] Kisel, Ralph, "Software Fixes Problems on the Factory Floor," Automotive News, October 9, 2006.
- [14] Goldratt, E.M. & Cox, J., "The Goal: A Process of Ongoing Improvement," North River Press, Croton-on-Hudson, NY, 1986.
- [15] Taleb, N.N., "The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable," Random House, 2007.
- [16] Variation Simulation Incorporated, Simulation Modeling Presentation to Fiat-Chrysler, 2014.
- [17] Zhang, Chary, Speech Citing VSI and PNC as Industry Standards, Fiat-Chrysler, spa, 2012.